

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/542,737	SERNIUK ET AL.	
	Examiner Tim Heitbrink	Art Unit 1722	
<b>All Participants:</b>		<b>Status of Application:</b> _____	
(1) <u>Tim Heitbrink</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Richard Musgrave</u> .		(4) _____.	
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>14 August 2007</u>		<b>Time:</b> _____	
<b>Type of Interview:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
<b>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
<b>Part I.</b>			
<b>Rejection(s) discussed:</b> <i>None</i>			
<b>Claims discussed:</b> <i>4,6,8,10,11,13,15,17,18,20</i>			
<b>Prior art documents discussed:</b> <i>None</i>			
<b>Part II.</b>			
<b>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:</b> <i>Mr. Musgrave agreed to the changes as found in the Examiner's Amendment.</i>			
<b>Part III.</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)